

N385mcgC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
3 -----x

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y.

5 v. 23 Cr. 16 (JHR)

6 CHARLES McGONIGAL,  
7 SERGY SHESTAKOV,

8 Defendants.  
9 -----x

10 Before:  
11 HON. JENNIFER H. REARDEN,  
12 U.S. District Judge  
13  
14

15 APPEARANCES

16 DAMIAN WILLIAMS  
17 United States Attorney for the  
18 Southern District of New York  
BY: HAGAN C. SCOTTEN  
DEREK WIKSTROM  
REBECCA DELL  
19 Assistant United States Attorneys

20 BRACEWELL, LLP  
21 Attorneys for Defendant McGonigal  
BY: SETH D. DuCHARME  
MEAGAN MALONEY  
22

23 GLAVIN PLLC  
24 Attorneys for Defendant Shestakov  
BY: RITA M. GLAVIN  
KATHERINE E. PETRINO  
25

N385mcgC

1 (Case called)

2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for  
3 the record, starting with the government.

4 MR. SCOTTEN: Good morning, your Honor. Hagan Scotten  
5 and Derek Wikstrom for the government.

6 THE COURT: Good morning.

7 MR. DuCHARME: Good morning. For Charles McGonigal  
8 Seth DuCharme and Meagan Maloney. Good morning, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Good morning.

10 MR. DuCHARME: Good afternoon.

11 MS. GLAVIN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Rita Glavin  
12 and Katherine Petrino, here with our client Sergy Shestakov.

13 THE COURT: Good morning. Please, be seated.

14 So, we were here about a month ago on February 9, and  
15 I believe at that point you told me collectively that you had  
16 not reached agreement on the month of trial and that more time  
17 was needed for defendants to review discovery and so we are  
18 back today for an update on that front.

19 MR. SCOTTEN: Thank you, your Honor.

20 That's correct. I believe the last time we were here  
21 we told the Court we could get all of the Rule 16 discovery we  
22 were aware of produced within 30 days. And so the primary  
23 announcement I have for the Court today is we did accomplish  
24 that, although it did include a very small production this  
25 morning. I wanted to say that first because I think that would

N385mcgC

1 be helpful in moving to the next stage in the case but I have  
2 to accompany it with several caveats.

3 The first, which shouldn't affect timing but just for  
4 clarity, is of course there are sort of two broad categories of  
5 discovery in a criminal case. We have gotten the Rule 16 out.  
6 There is also 3500 impeachment material that is not, under  
7 Circuit precedent, disclosed until shortly before trial so  
8 obviously we haven't started to disclose any of that. We don't  
9 think there will be any issues in timing of producing that once  
10 a trial date is set. I just wanted to flag that.

11 The second caveat is of course we are continuing to  
12 investigate, as we always do, so it won't be surprising if we  
13 acquire and produce new Rule 16 materials. I am simply  
14 representing we produced what we have now. And of course we  
15 might also make new productions in response to reviewing our  
16 files and finding something that we believe should have gone  
17 out for defense requests.

18 And the final one, which is unique to this case is, as  
19 I think the Court knows, Mr. McGonigal, but not Mr. Shestakov,  
20 is also indicted in the District of Columbia. We have agreed  
21 with Mr. McGonigal that he may make use of the District of  
22 Columbia production in this case to the extent any of it turns  
23 out to be material. We expect that we will, at some point,  
24 obtain a copy of the District of Columbia production which we  
25 then intend to review to see if there is anything recoverable

N385mcgC

1 to Mr. Shestakov. So we will produce that to Mr. Shestakov if  
2 and when we have it and if and when it is discoverable. I  
3 doubt very much any of that is going to be Rule 16 material  
4 since it is part of a second investigation. So I don't think  
5 the Court needs to account for that in terms of timing, I just  
6 wanted to flag it as potentially coming out in the future.

7 And the final thing I should say, is although we have  
8 produced everything, I understand the defense has, by no means,  
9 had sufficient time to review it and so that is fair and some  
10 of our production we got yesterday so there is no way they  
11 could have their arms around it yet.

12 I see, your Honor --

13 THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Scotten, what is the volume of  
14 Rule 16 material that you have produced so far?

15 MR. SCOTTEN: I would have trouble -- I don't have the  
16 number of gigabytes which, in any event, would be misleading.  
17 We produced some things which are large in data size but not  
18 terribly significant. For example, the defendant's phone  
19 records for the past year or two take up a lot of space but I  
20 don't think they're likely to be highly material to this case.  
21 I think, generally speaking, on the scale of a white collar  
22 case it is a medium case, it is a simple case, but it is also  
23 not one of these cases that depends on highly complex financial  
24 records but there are financial records. There are large files  
25 that consist of the defendants' phone content which do contain

N385mcgC

1 a lot of material communications such as communication with  
2 co-defendants. So, I would say on the scale of all cases this  
3 is a complex case, in the scale of white collar case it is  
4 somewhere in the middle. I hope that is helpful.

5 THE COURT: How much time do you need to prepare for  
6 trial in a medium case?

7 MR. SCOTTEN: We charged the case and are ready to go.  
8 That is really a question for the defense. My understanding is  
9 they want 60 days to look at the discovery before. I am  
10 prepared to set a calendar but I will leave that to defense.

11 THE COURT: What volume is coming from the DDC case?  
12 Do you know?

13 MR. SCOTTEN: The total production to Mr. McGonigal is  
14 huge, apparently they have a very large production in their  
15 case. I am not representing that is material in this case or  
16 that that is what we are going to give Mr. Shestakov since I  
17 doubt a lot of that is not going to be material here or is  
18 going to be redundant. I think Mr. McGonigal's cell phone  
19 contents are electronically a huge file. I am sure that was  
20 produced to him in both cases.

21 THE COURT: Defense counsel?

22 MR. DuCHARME: I can speak to some of your questions,  
23 your Honor.

24 To state the obvious, it is an unusual measure in this  
25 case of targeting the defendant at the same time in two

N385mcgC

1 different districts, and to paraphrase something that  
2 Mr. Scotten said, the challenge for us is large in size but not  
3 significant. So to be frank, Judge, we look forward to our  
4 trial. We are diligently trying to work through these  
5 materials and I have had conversations with Mr. Scotten and  
6 also the prosecutors in D.C., and I think those conversations  
7 were in good faith about trying to point us to the stuff that  
8 is material.

9 To give you some idea, in the D.C. case their first  
10 production, which happened very recently, was in the order of  
11 80,000 documents in a case which, in sum and substance, is  
12 about alleged omissions or misstatements on some government  
13 forms. So it is not a very complicated case, it is a mountain  
14 of data. And in this case I think it's also fair to echo  
15 Mr. Scotten's statement that, and probably in fairness to them  
16 they are producing in an abundance of caution anything they  
17 think might be potentially useful to us. But we have spent  
18 hours and hours and days and days going through material that  
19 the relevance is not immediately apparent to me with respect to  
20 the conduct.

21 THE COURT: All right.

22 MR. DuCHARME: So that's a long way of saying we are  
23 not looking to slow this down, Judge. We think there can be  
24 some things we can do, perhaps some pretrial motions in the  
25 near term, but I can't possibly represent that we have done our

N385mcgC

1 job to go through this material without getting a little help  
2 from the government to focus us and to even know when it is  
3 complete.

4 THE COURT: Yes, and I was going to go there anyway.

5 Mr. Scotten, at what point will the government be in a  
6 position to point to material that you think is particularly  
7 important for the defendants to review?

8 MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, we are in a position to do  
9 that now. We already have a sense of what we think is most  
10 important. Sort of the usual flow of things is we get  
11 everything to them and they would take an initial look at it  
12 and sort of have a sense of all that is out there, and  
13 Mr. DuCharme and I spoke about this briefly, but essentially I  
14 assume they will come to us shortly and say, *Hey, can we sit*  
15 *down and talk about what a trial in this case might look like?*  
16 And whenever they do, we will be ready to talk with them.

17 THE COURT: Ms. Glavin?

18 MS. GLAVIN: Your Honor, if I may just have a moment  
19 to confer with Mr. DuCharme?

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 (Counsel conferring)

22 MS. GLAVIN: Your Honor, with respect to the  
23 discovery, I will just start with the status from my  
24 perspective as it relates to Mr. Shestakov.

25 As your knows, I got into the case -- I think we filed

N385mcgC

1 notice of appearance early February. I had never met  
2 Mr. Shestakov before and so I am spending time with him, he had  
3 counsel for some period of time. And so, my client and I have  
4 a lot to go over so I just wanted to give you that context.  
5 There will be more that I will share with the Court at the  
6 conference this afternoon that I think may give you some idea  
7 about where I think we are going and what I am going to need to  
8 ask for. I just wanted to start with that.

9 Number two is that with respect to discovery that was  
10 produced, we got the first round, Mr. Shestakov did, on I think  
11 it was February 17th. It is a large amount. I'm not going to  
12 use the medium, small, large analogy because I think it is kind  
13 of meaningless in this context. I would say this. The first  
14 round that we got on the 17th, in terms of just pages, OK, but  
15 that's kind of deceptive, it is about 14,000 PDF pages. But  
16 what I will flag for the Court on that is that within the  
17 14,000 pages there might be one document with one Bates stamp  
18 that has hundreds of pages attached to it. We are not even  
19 through it. I have given -- my associates are going through to  
20 digest what we have and what we don't have without reviewing it  
21 in terms of figuring out our defenses yet. In addition to just  
22 the PDF pages, which I can quantify for the Court, is the ESI,  
23 the electronically stored information, of which there is a  
24 tablet, laptops and cell phones. That does take a fair amount  
25 of time for us to review and we have not even started that

N385mcgC

1 process yet. Last night we got another large production of  
2 several thousand more pages, we haven't gone through that yet,  
3 and then, there was a very small production this morning.

4 In terms of I expect -- not expect, I know already the  
5 areas that I'm going to have a letter for the government and I  
6 am keeping my to-do list of what we need to ask them for, based  
7 on my conversations with Mr. Shestakov as well as consultation  
8 with Mr. DuCharme, about what I think already may be missing  
9 from the discovery that we need to ask for that would be  
10 material to the preparation of our defense and things that my  
11 client has alerted me to that I think are important. We are  
12 going to have a fairly lengthy letter for the government and I  
13 would prefer not to do it piecemeal but to get a sense of what  
14 we have, what we don't have in these three batches that we got,  
15 and send them a letter. I don't know if it will result in us  
16 having to do motions to compel. I hope it will not.

17 So where we are is I'm not in a position right now to  
18 tell you, one, how long I think it is going to be for me to  
19 prepare for trial. I am very conscious of the fact that this  
20 may be a case where we ask to take Rule 15 depositions for  
21 people abroad. But in my view it may end up, in the end, being  
22 a rather simple case to try, or not, but getting there I think  
23 is going to be more of a complex process so that we understand  
24 what the defenses are, we go to trial, when we have done all of  
25 the things we have to do and that includes, at least from us,

N385mcgC

1 potentially getting things from international sources and find  
2 out if the government has done that. Because as your Honor can  
3 see from the indictment, there are foreign nationals and we can  
4 talk more about this in our conference later today, that we  
5 think are quite material to the case and that we want some more  
6 information about that we think will have exculpatory  
7 information and we have reasons for that, and that are material  
8 to the preparation of the defense.

9 So that's where I am at. If your Honor has any  
10 questions?

11 THE COURT: No, not right now. Thank you, Ms. Glavin.

12 So, when we were here last time you all were  
13 anticipating that you would need about 90 days to go through  
14 the discovery and figure out where you were on discovery and  
15 with respect to a possible trial date. We are doing this  
16 update after about 30 days. I think what makes sense is -- I  
17 assume that the parties are going to start having conversations  
18 with the government pointing out what they think is important  
19 for the defendants to review at the earliest opportunity. I  
20 think we should have letter updates in 30 days, and then we  
21 will come back here in 60 days and at that conference we will  
22 pick a trial date.

23 Is there anything else that anybody wants to be heard  
24 on today?

25 MR. SCOTTEN: Your Honor, do you want to try to pick

1 N385mcgC

2 the 60-day date now or after our letters?

3 THE COURT: Let's do that now and then we can deal  
4 with the speedy trial clock.

5 MR. SCOTTEN: Great.

6 THE COURT: So what about letters on April 5th, and  
7 conference on May 10?

8 MR. SCOTTEN: That works for the government, your  
9 Honor.

10 MR. DuCHARME: That works for Mr. McGonigal.

11 THE COURT: And for Mr. Shestakov?

12 MS. GLAVIN: Yes, your Honor. That works for us.

13 THE COURT: Shall we say 11:30?

14 MR. SCOTTEN: Does the Court have any other time  
15 available? Obviously other people can cover it but I have an  
16 11:00 sentencing that I really should go to.

17 THE COURT: Yes. Any sense of when you will be  
18 finished?

19 MR. SCOTTEN: I would usually budget an hour for  
20 sentencing, if the Court can.

21 THE COURT: 2:00 then?

22 MR. SCOTTEN: 2:00 is great for me.

23 THE COURT: And that still works for the defendants?

24 MR. DuCHARME: Yes, your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Does the government wish for me to exclude  
time under the Speedy Trial Act now through May 10?

N385mcgC

1                   MR. SCOTTEN: We do, your Honor; so that the  
2 defendants can review discovery, so that the parties can  
3 discuss possible contour of the trial for this case, and so  
4 that defendants can prepare for trial we believe that the  
5 interests of justice outweigh the interests of the defendants  
6 and the public in having a trial within 60 days.

7                   THE COURT: Any objection?

8                   MR. DuCHARME: No, your Honor.

9                   MS. GLAVIN: No, your Honor.

10                  THE COURT: Hearing no objection, I hereby exclude  
11 time between today and our next conference date of May 10, 2023  
12 under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C.  
13 Section 3161(h)(7)(A) in order to permit counsel to talk with one  
14 another, review discovery, and prepare for trial. I find the  
15 exclusion to be in the best interests of justice and outweigh  
16 the best interests of the public and defendants in a speedy  
17 trial.

18                  MR. SCOTTEN: Nothing further from the government,  
19 your Honor. Thank you.

20                  MR. DuCHARME: Nothing for Mr. McGonigal. Thank you,  
21 your Honor.

22                  MS. GLAVIN: Nothing here, your Honor.

23                  THE COURT: Thank you, all. We are adjourned for  
24 today.

25   o0o